1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 SANDRA WILSON, 8 Case No. 1:20-cv-00436-DAD-BAM Plaintiff, 9 SCHEDULING CONFERENCE ORDER v. 10 C R BARD INCORPORATED, et al., Amendment 11 to Pleadings: September 11, 2020 Defendants. 12 **Initial Disclosures:** August 5, 2020 13 Plaintiff's Expert 14 Disclosure: September 3, 2021 15 Defendants' Expert 16 Disclosure: October 1, 2021 17 Supplemental Expert Disclosure: November 1, 2021 18 19 Non-expert Discovery Cutoff: August 3, 2021 20 Discovery Cutoff for 21 Plaintiff's Experts: January 14, 2022 22 Discovery Cutoff for 23 Defendants' Experts: February 14, 2022 24 **Pretrial Motion** Filing Deadline: March 4, 2022 25 26 27 28

Case 1:20-cv-00436-DAD-BAM Document 26 Filed 07/22/20 Page 2 of 5

This Court conducted a scheduling conference on July 22, 2020. Counsel Samuel Wendt appeared by telephone on behalf of Plaintiff Sandra Wilson. Counsel Shawtina Lewis, Matthew Lerner, and James Rogers appeared by telephone on behalf of Defendants C R Bard Incorporated and Bard Peripheral Vascular Incorporated. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b), this Court sets a schedule for this action.

1. Amendment to the Parties' Pleadings

All stipulated amendments or motions to amend shall be filed by **September 11, 2020.**

2. Current Status of Consent to the Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c), the parties have not consented to conduct all further proceedings in this case, including trial, before the Honorable Barbara A. McAuliffe, U.S. Magistrate Judge.

3. Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures

Initial disclosures pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) shall be completed by August 5, 2020.

4. Discovery Cutoffs and Limits

Plaintiff shall produce the completed Plaintiff's Fact Sheet and related information utilized in the *In re: C R. Bard, Inc. IVC Filter MDL* by **August 21, 2020**. Defendants shall produce the completed Defendant's Fact Sheet and related information utilized in the *In re: C R. Bard, Inc. IVC Filter MDL* by **September 21, 2020**.

Plaintiff's initial expert witness disclosures shall be served no later than **September 3, 2021**. Defendants' initial expert witness disclosures shall be served no later than **October 1, 2021**. Supplemental expert witness disclosures shall be served no later than **November 1, 2021**. Such disclosures must be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(A) and (B) and shall include all information required thereunder. In addition, Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) shall specifically apply to all discovery relating to expert witnesses and their opinions. Each expert witness must be prepared fully to be examined on all subjects and opinions included in the designations. Failure to comply with these requirements will result in the imposition of appropriate sanctions, which may include the preclusion of testimony or other evidence offered through the expert witness. In particular, this Court will enforce preclusion of testimony or other evidence if Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) is

not strictly complied with.

5. Pretrial Motion Schedule

All non-expert discovery, including motions to compel, shall be completed no later than August 3, 2021. All expert discovery, including motions to compel, regarding Plaintiff's experts shall be completed no later than January 14, 2022. All expert discovery, including motions to compel, regarding Defendants' experts shall be completed no later than February 14, 2022. Compliance with these discovery cutoffs requires motions to compel be filed and heard sufficiently in advance of the cutoff so that the Court may grant effective relief within the allotted discovery time. A party's failure to have a discovery dispute heard sufficiently in advance of the discovery cutoff may result in denial of the motion as untimely.

Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from calendar. In addition to filing a joint statement electronically, a copy of the joint statement shall also be sent Judge McAuliffe's chambers by email to bamorders@caed.uscourts.gov. Counsel for the parties are additionally required to conduct at least one **telephonic or in person conference** as part of their obligations to meet and confer in good faith to resolve their discovery dispute prior to seeking judicial intervention. The parties are further cautioned that boilerplate objections to written discovery will be summarily denied.

Upon stipulation of the parties, Judge McAuliffe will resolve discovery disputes by informal telephonic conference outside the formal procedures of the Local Rules and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure governing noticed motions to compel. The procedures for requesting an informal telephonic conference are set forth in Judge McAuliffe's Case Management Procedures located on the Court's website, http://www.caed.uscourts.gov. If the parties stipulate to an informal ruling on a discovery dispute that arises during a deposition, they may request an informal ruling during the deposition by contacting Judge McAuliffe's Courtroom Deputy, Esther Valdez, by telephone at (559) 499-5788.

All pre-trial motions, both dispositive and non-dispositive (except motions to compel, addressed above), including *Daubert* motions, shall be served <u>and filed</u> on or before **March 4, 2022.**Non-dispositive motions are heard on Fridays at 9:00 a.m., before the Honorable Barbara A.

Case 1:20-cv-00436-DAD-BAM Document 26 Filed 07/22/20 Page 4 of 5

McAuliffe, United States Magistrate Judge, in Courtroom 8. Before scheduling such motions, the parties shall comply with Local Rule 230 or Local Rule 251.

The parties are advised that unless prior leave of the Court is obtained, all moving and opposition briefs or legal memorandum in civil cases before Judge McAuliffe shall not exceed twenty-five (25) pages. Reply briefs by the moving party shall not exceed ten (10) pages. These page limitations do not include exhibits. Briefs that exceed this page limitation, or are sought to be filed without leave, may not be considered by the Court.

Counsel or pro se parties may appear and argue non-dispositive motions before Judge McAuliffe by telephone by dialing the Court's teleconference line at 1-877-411-9748 and entering Access Code 3219139, provided they indicate their intent to appear telephonically on their pleadings or by email to evaldez@caed.uscourts.gov at least one week prior to the hearing.

Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

Prior to filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication the parties are ORDERED to meet, in person or by telephone, and confer to discuss the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the Court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a summary judgment motion; and 6) to arrive at a joint statement of undisputed facts.

The moving party shall initiate the meeting and provide a draft of the joint statement of undisputed facts. In addition to the requirements of Local Rule 260, the moving party shall file a joint statement of undisputed facts.

In the notice of motion, the moving party shall certify that the parties have met and conferred as ordered above and set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and confer.

6. Mandatory Settlement Conference

A Settlement Conference has not been scheduled. The parties are advised to contact the Court

Case 1:20-cv-00436-DAD-BAM Document 26 Filed 07/22/20 Page 5 of 5

1 2 3 4 7. Pretrial Conference and Trial 5 6 7 8 9 or trial dates at this time. 8. Effect of This Order 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 for granting the relief requested. 20 21

if they determine that a settlement conference would be beneficial. If a settlement conference is set, the parties are advised that unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, the attorneys who will try the case shall appear at the settlement conference with the parties and the person or persons having full authority to negotiate and settle the case, on any terms, at the conference.

The parties have been advised that District Judge Dale A. Drozd is the sole active district judge in this Division and it is uncertain who the trial judge will be and if and when the case may proceed to trial. In light of the judicial emergency in this District, the Court declines to set a pretrial conference

This order represents the best estimate of the Court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the Court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

The dates set in this Order are considered to be firm and will not be modified absent a showing of good cause even if the request to modify is made by stipulation. Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate, attached exhibits, which establish good cause

The failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.

IT IS SO ORDERED. 22

> 1s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe Dated: **July 22, 2020**

26

23

24

25

27

28